PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES OF MEETING  
Wednesday, August 23, 2017  
7:00 p.m.

A quorum being present at Centerville City Hall, 250 North Main Street,  
Centerville, Utah. The meeting of the Centerville City Planning Commission was called  
to order at 7:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT  
Kevin Daly  
Cheylynn Hayman  
David Hirschi, Chair  
Gina Hirst  
Logan Johnson  
Becki Wright

MEMBER ABSENT  
Kathy Helgesen

STAFF PRESENT  
Cory Snyder, Community Development Director  
Lisa Romney, City Attorney  
Cassie Younger, Assistant Planner  
Randy Randall, Public Works Director  
Katie Rust, Recording Secretary

VISITORS  
Interested citizens (see attached sign-in sheet)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

OPENING COMMENT/LEGISLATIVE PRAYER  
Commissioner Johnson

MINUTES REVIEW AND ACCEPTANCE

The minutes of the August 9, 2017 Planning Commission meeting were reviewed  
and amendments requested. Commissioner Johnson made a motion to accept the  
minutes as amended. Commissioner Daly seconded the motion, which passed by  
unanimous voted (6-0).

PUBLIC MEETING – FINAL SITE PLAN – GOODFELLOW/TULLIUS GARAGE  
– 215 WEST 1825 NORTH

Kathy Goodfellow and Mary Tullius desire to construct a new accessory garage  
located at their place of residence. They recently acquired an un-platted parcel of land
adjacent to their original subdivision lot and combined the land together into a single parcel. However, the added parcel remains outside of the platted subdivision boundary. Staff explained the request for Final Site Plan approval. Mary Tullius, applicant, expressed appreciation to staff for their help working through the process.

At 7:18 p.m., Chair Hirschi opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing that no one wished to comment. Commissioner Wright made a motion to approve the Final Site Plan for the proposed residential development of an un-platted parcel that has been combined with the home located at 215 West 1850 North, with Conditions 1-4 and Reasons for the Action 1-3. Commissioner Daly seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

**Conditions:**

1. The owner will be required to pay any development fees that may be applicable for the development of this lot.
2. Approval is subject to the Conceptual Site Plan accepted by the Planning Commission on July 26, 2017.
3. The accessory building shall comply with the development standards as required and stated in CZC 12.32.300.
4. Applicant shall provide a signed public utility easement to the City for recording prior to the building permit.

**Reasons for Action:**

1. The applicant has clearly shown how the property may be developed [Section 12.21.110(e)].
2. Applicable utility services and easements are required for residential development [Section 12.21.110(e)(2)(ii)(d) & Section 15-5-106(8)].
3. A final site plan application has been submitted to obtain approval to construct an accessory dwelling on un-platted parcels [Section 12.21.110(3)].

**PUBLIC MEETING – FINAL SITE PLAN AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT – THE BRIDGE COMMUNITY CHURCH – 1284 WEST 75 NORTH**

The petitioner, The Bridge Community Church, desires to move their place of worship to a location in the West Centerville area, currently Zoned Industrial-High. On May 24, 2017, the Planning Commission first reviewed the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) request, and tabled action with directives to the petitioner. Cory Snyder, Community Development Director, reported that The Bridge Community has secured parking permission rights from adjacent properties. It is anticipated peak use for The Bridge Community will occur on Sundays, typically an off-time in the Industrial area. Mr. Snyder stated the Public Works Director has indicated any on-street parking on 1250 West would interrupt travel lanes. The conditions of approval prepared by staff include direction that 1250 West would not be used for parking. Staff is confident onsite parking, neighbor parking, and the local road will provide sufficient parking. If overflow parking available at neighboring lots were to significantly change, the situation would be subject to further Planning Commission review. Mr. Snyder stated staff recommends approval of both the Final Site Plan and the CUP.
Responding to a question from Commissioner Johnson, Mr. Snyder stated there is a risk to the user that off-site parking may change significantly in the future. He added there is always risk involved with conditional use. Loren Pankratz, representing The Bridge Community, said he understands the parking concerns, and is confident in The Bridge Community’s ability to creatively mitigate any future parking concerns. Mr. Pankratz said he believes their biggest issue will be making sure people are aware of where to park. He confirmed that neighboring property owners have indicated they are comfortable with The Bridge Community removing snow from parking lots on Saturday night or early Sunday morning to facilitate parking.

At 7:33 p.m., Chair Hirschi opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing that no one wished to comment. Commissioner Hayman made a motion to approve the Final Site Plan – Amended for The Bridge Community Church Use, subject to Conditions 1-3 and Reasons for Action (a) – (c). Commissioner Wright seconded the motion.

**Conditions:**

1. The Final Site Plan approval is limited to a single land use of a Church or place of worship, subject to the issuance of a CUP for such use. Any desire to create a multiple use or multi-tenant use shall be subject to a different approval in accordance with any applicable development standard or regulation.
2. The Final Site Plan approval is limited to the reconfiguration of the Parking Lot Layout, as provided and shown on the site plan submittal.
3. All other elements of the site are deemed consistent with the Zoning Ordinance in accordance with the nonconformities provisions of 12.22 Nonconformities, and are subject to such provisions.

**Reasons for Action:**

a. The Planning Commission finds that according to Section 12.22.080, any Non-conforming Development that is consistent with the originally approved plan shall be deemed compliant with the current zoning ordinance.

b. In this nonconforming case, the Planning Commission finds the site plan amendment is limited to meeting or satisfying the parking and loading requirements (see CZC 12.52.050) for the proposed change of use to a “Church or Place of Worship.”

c. The Planning Commission finds that the amendment to the parking is rightfully subject to a City review to determine if the parking and loading areas provided satisfies the parking and loading requirements, as part of an amended site plan approval, see CZC 12.52.050.

Commissioner Johnson said he believes parking ratios in the Ordinance are weak and should be examined and improved at some point. Commissioner Wright agreed, adding that overflow parking is an issue at church buildings city-wide. The motion passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

Referring to one of the suggested conditions for CUP approval, Mr. Pankratz asked if an expiration date could be specified for the prohibition of on-street parking on
1250 West when the street is finished. Mr. Snyder said he understands the suggestion, but is hesitant to lose the ability to evaluate and mitigate potential problems. The needs of all uses in the area would need to be evaluated. Responding to a question from Commissioner Daly, Mr. Snyder stated it is the responsibility of the applicant to make sure users are aware of parking restrictions. Ms. Romney agreed with Mr. Snyder that the City should retain the ability to look at this particular user and determine as part of the CUP if parking on 1250 West is appropriate if and when 1250 West is fully improved. Under the CUP, The Bridge Community must provide all parking required by the Zoning Code onsite.

Randy Randall, Public Works Director, informed the Commission that West Bountiful City has requested Centerville City participate in a joint venture to widen 1250 West and provide pedestrian/bicycle access from the City boundary to Parrish Lane. It is not yet determined if on-street parking will be desired. Chair Hirschi expressed a preference for taking a conservative approach on parking at this point in order to allow for future development options in the area.

Commissioner Hirst made a motion for the Planning Commission to approve the Conditional Use Permit for The Bridge Community Church Use, subject to Conditions 1-5 and Reasons for Action (a) – (g). Commissioner Hayman seconded the motion. Commissioner Johnson made a motion to amend the motion to change Condition 5 from approval “in the future by the City”, to approval “in the future by the Community Development Director and Public Works Director”. Commissioner Daly seconded the motion to amend, which failed (3-3), with Chair Hirschi and Commissioners Hayman and Hirst dissenting. The original motion to approve the CUP passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

Conditions:

1. The CUP is limited to a single land use of a Church or place of worship. Any desire to create a multiple use or multi-tenant use shall be subject to a different approval in accordance with any applicable development standard or regulation.
2. The CUP approval is subject to the reconfiguration of the existing parking lot as approved with the related Final Site Plan.
3. The CUP approval is subject to the accommodation of off-site overflow parking, as outlined in the applicant’s request.
4. If the overflow parking allowances, as outlined in the petitioners’ submittal, are rescinded by any owner, the CUP approval is subject to further reconsideration by the City.
5. On-street parking for the use is prohibited on 1250 West, unless otherwise approved in the future by the City.

Reasons for Action:

a. The Planning Commission finds that a “Church or Place of Worship,” is allowed in the Industrial-High (I-H) Zone with an approval of a conditional use permit (see 12.12.040).
b. The Planning Commission finds that the City previously reviewed and subsequently approved a zoning text amendment to allow churches or places of worship in the I-H Zone, as also proposed previously by the applicant. Therefore, the request to allow the proposed church use has been deemed consistent with the City’s General Plan.

c. The Planning Commission finds that the reuse of the building for a church is a different use than office/warehouse, the needed assembly space is likely feasible for the existing building with mitigating conditions of approval for the use.

d. Although there is sufficient onsite parking, as deemed required by Zoning Code, the Planning Commission finds that in the petitioner’s submittal, it indicates that there may at times be a need to accommodate overflow parking.

e. The Planning Commission finds that petitioner has secured agreements from surrounding development to utilize the parking areas on adjacent properties to meet the demand for overflow parking.

f. The Planning Commission finds that it is the Public Works Director’s position at this time that until the east side of 1250 West is completed, there is inadequate space to allow any on-street parking for that roadway.

g. Therefore, given the findings above, the Planning Commission finds that the conditions imposed adequately mitigate impacts of the proposed use to meet the CUP approval criteria of Section 12.21.100 of the Zoning Code.

PUBLIC HEARING – PDO AMENDMENT AND CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN
AMENDMENT TO LEGACY CROSSING – 1222 LEGACY CROSSING BLD

The applicants desire to amend the approved project’s overall parking plan by seeking a “Parking Modification” to modify the number of parking stalls related to this mixed use development. Mr. Snyder explained the request to exclude Lots 2 and 3 from the project’s parking plan, and change from a “flat rate” count to a “cross-use” count (i.e. sharing of office day use with theater evening use). This modification would allow for development of the other lots, particularly Lot 4, without the need to await the installation of the needed “flat rate” parking stalls that are to be constructed as part of Lots 2 and 3.

A traffic study done by Reeve & Associates showed the highest demand for parking to be at 9:00 p.m., and that the development, without Lots 2 and 3, would still have over 200 stalls available in a cross-hour use. Mr. Snyder pointed out that, although sufficient parking is provided, it is not necessarily provided in the places people prefer to park. Mr. Snyder said he has confidence in the ability of Reeve & Associates to provide a professional, reliable analysis. Staff recommends approval of the proposed amendment. Glenn Girsberger, applicant, commented that everyone would benefit from determining cross-parking by use versus peak demand.

At 8:04 p.m., Chair Hirschi opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing that no one wished to comment. Responding to a question from Commissioner Wright, Mr. Snyder explained that office uses in the development have changed from the original configuration. Commissioner Hayman asked if staff is concerned about on-street parking. Mr. Snyder responded that the apartment units
were marketed as having a second parking stall. The intended site for the second stalls has become preferred parking for theater patrons, causing conflict. He stated on-street parking on Legacy Boulevard is not problematic from a planning perspective since congestion helps control traffic speeds. Problems arise with parking in winter when snow removal is necessary. Mr. Snyder commented that the development was originally designed with transit-oriented development in mind. He said that, in his opinion, a Front-Runner stop may be a possibility at that location in 20 years or more with further development.

Commissioner Daly made a motion to recommend the City Council approve the petition to amend the Legacy Crossing at Parrish Lane Development’s PDO Approval, as follows:

1. Approval of the amended Exhibit “C”, as submitted to the City.
2. Approval of the Parking Modification, as prepared by Reeves and Associate, Inc.
3. The final parking counts for Lots 2 and 3 shall be subject to their applicable site plan approvals.
4. The preparation and recording of the necessary Development Agreement amendments, as deemed acceptable by the City Attorney.
5. All other related or associated conditions of the Legacy Crossing at Parrish Lane Development PDO Approval remain in effect with this amendment.

Reasons for the Action (Findings):

a) The Planning Commission finds that amendments to a PDO approval are subject to the original procedure used for obtaining a preliminary approval.

b) The Planning Commission finds that amendments are consistent and compatible with the originally approved expectations of the PDO Plan for the Legacy Crossing at Parrish Lane, as a mixed use development project.

Commissioner Hayman seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

PUBLIC MEETING - FINAL SITE PLAN – QUICK QUACK CAR WASH – 518 NORTH 400 WEST

Cassie Younger, Assistant Planner, explained the proposed Final Site Plan for Quick Quack Car Wash at 518 North 400 West. Quick Quack has accommodated the planned UDOT expansion and widening of 400 West into their plans – a change from the original Conceptual Site Plan. In addition, it was established at the Conceptual Site Plan that due to the significant visual presence from Parrish Lane, Quick Quack would be subject to the Parrish Lane Design Guidelines, which required further changes to their original plan.

Ms. Younger explained that Parrish Lane Design Guidelines suggest 30 feet of landscaping, although not all sites on Parrish Lane have the full 30 feet. She explained that 400 West is considered a collector street with regular development standards that require a 15-foot landscaping buffer from the back of curb, a six-foot sidewalk, and
another 8-10 feet of landscaping buffer. The plan submitted by Quick Quack at this time includes a 12-foot landscaping strip. Staff recommends pushing the landscaping buffer to 15 feet to provide sufficient space for pedestrian lighting and trees. Parrish Lane Design Guidelines typically incorporate muted colors, and some type of rock or stone. Ms. Younger showed photographs of Quick Quack’s proposed colors and building materials.

Joseph Earnest, on behalf of Quick Quack, explained that the consistent look and feel of their different locations is an important part of their marketing strategy. Mr. Earnest said Quick Quack makes an effort to be involved in the community and create a loyal following. He said it was his understanding at the beginning of the process the property would most likely not fall within Parrish Lane Design Guidelines. He stated proximity of the building to the street is important to their marketing strategy. He said they moved the building back to accommodate future UDOT expansion of 400 West, and they believe moving the building back another three feet to accommodate more landscaping than proposed would be damaging to the business. Mr. Earnest referred to use of the words “shall” and “should” in the Parrish Lane Design Guidelines. He showed photographs and pointed out use of color on surrounding businesses, and emphasized that visual presence from Parrish Lane is crucial. He asked the Planning Commission to not require muted colors and different building materials. Mr. Earnest also asked the Planning Commission to allow the proposed 12 feet of landscaping. If 15 feet of landscaping is required, he said they may not be able to accommodate all of the taking for expansion of 400 West. Mr. Snyder clarified that, while accommodating the future taking at this time is an example of negotiation and teamwork, Quick Quack will be financially compensated for the property taken when 400 West is expanded. Mr. Earnest responded it is unknown how much and when Quick Quack would be compensated for the taking.

Chair Hirschi said he understands the marketing desire for locations to look similar, but said he believes improving the appearance of the building would help and enhance rather than hurt Quick Quack. Mr. Earnest responded it would be difficult to incorporate rock or stone with the desired yellow and green. Commissioner Wright showed a photograph of the Quick Quack Highland location as evidence that Quick Quack has accommodated design standards of areas in which they have built. She said she believes the appearance of the Highland location would fit into the Parrish Lane Gateway area. Mr. Earnest responded Quick Quack can accommodate design standards, but considering the use of the word “should” in the Parrish Lane Design Guidelines, he does not think requiring the standards would be appropriate use of government. Ms. Younger said the City is trying to be flexible, but there are many “shall”s in addition to the “shoulds” in the landscape and streetscape standards, and not all of them can be ignored.

At 8:51 p.m., Chair Hirschi opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing that no one wished to comment. Commissioner Wright pointed out the need to provide sufficient space in the park strip for trees to grow and be healthy without interfering with infrastructure. Ms. Younger commented that reducing the recommended 15 feet of landscaping buffer to 14 feet would still provide an 8-foot park strip with a 6-foot sidewalk.
Commissioner Hayman made a motion to approve an alteration of the Landscaping requirements within the Parrish Lane Design Guidelines for the Quick Quack Car Wash at 518 North 400 West with Conditions 1 and 2. Commissioner Wright seconded the motion.

Conditions:

1. The public street landscaping area shall be at least 15', as stated in 12.51.070(f)(1)(A)(ii), measured from back of curb, which shall include a sidewalk and at least an eight-foot park strip, to accommodate street trees, pedestrian lighting, and buffering from the public right of way.

2. This alteration is subject to the approval of the Final Site Plan that is associated with the Quick Quack development; future changes to the site are subject to the Zoning Code provisions at the time of application for amendment or redevelopment.

Commissioner Daly said he does not feel as strongly about the 15 feet, and is inclined to figure out if it could be reduced. Commissioner Hayman agreed, stating she believes much more strongly about the aesthetic aspect and would prefer to give a little on the landscaping. Commissioner Wright pointed out that the 15-foot requirement is already less than required under Parrish Lane Design Guidelines. Chair Hirschi said he is not sure changing from 15 to 13 or 14 would make a lot of difference. The property does not front on Parrish Lane, and as long as the space is sufficient for the longevity of trees, Chair Hirschi said he is inclined to be less stringent.

Commissioner Wright made a motion to amend the motion, altering Condition 1 to read: “The public street landscaping area shall be at least 14’ . . .” Commissioner Daly seconded the motion to amend the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (6-0). The amended motion passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

Commissioner Daly made a motion to approve the Final Site Plan of Quick Quack Car Wash, with Directives 1-5 and Reasons for the Action 1-3. Commissioner Wright seconded the motion.

Directives:

1. A Boundary Line Adjustment with Colonial Lumber shall be recorded before Building Permit is issued.

2. Amended Site Plans for Dairy Queen and Colonial Lumber shall be approved by the City before issuance of Building Permit.

3. The landscaping plan shall be altered to accommodate the minimum required trees along 400 West. Additionally, the landscaping plan be altered to meet the 5% internal parking lot landscaping requirement. Staff shall verify compliance as part of the building permit approval process.

4. Colors and materiality of architecture are to be harmonious with surrounding development, and the elevation plans shall be amended, as follows:
   a. The use of primary colors shall be changed to muted tones or utilize earth-toned colors.
   b. Plans shall incorporate rock or stone into the architectural design of the building and/or pillars.
c. Staff shall verify compliance as part of the building permit approval process, any disputes between parties shall be resubmitted to the Planning Commission for determination.

5. Except where amended above, the Final Site Plan approved shall comply with the plans reviewed by the Planning Commission on August 23, 2017.

Commissioner Daly said he believes Quick Quack will have a significant visual presence from Parrish Lane, and he believes the requirements can be accommodated. Chair Hirschi said he believes the muted colors and building material requirements will contribute to Centerville having the nicest Quick Quack Car Wash in Davis County. Commissioner Wright stated the Planning Commission does not want to place undue restrictions or requirements on property owners, but the community has standards in place, and it is the Commission’s job to think through those standards. Commissioner Johnson stated he is not opposed to the bright yellow, and he does not think the Code has to be interpreted to mean muted colors. He said he is firm, however, on the rock requirement.

The Planning Commissioners discussed the color choices submitted by Quick Quack. Commissioner Wright made a motion to amend the motion, adding to Directive 4 direction to include that the building elevations shall be harmonious with the fashion and manner applied to the Highland location. Commissioner Daly seconded the motion to amend. Ms. Romney raised concerns with the breadth of the proposed amendment noting that the amendment as stated implies the entire building materials and design need to be like the Highland building. Ms. Romney suggested changing the condition to reference the use of exterior “colors and materials” of the Highland building to avoid the implication that the building must look exactly like the Highland building. Chair Hirschi expressed confidence that staff is more than capable of working with Quick Quack to come up with something that achieves the goals of the Parrish Lane Design Guidelines. Commissioners Hayman and Wright stated they feel “Cheerful” yellow is not acceptable. Mr. Earnest stated he would be comfortable working with staff to come up with something beautiful. Commissioner Hayman added she does not feel the colors “Cheerful”, “Daisy”, or “Lemon Twist” are harmonious with the Parrish Lane Design Guidelines. The motion to amend failed by unanimous vote (0-6).

Commissioner Johnson made a motion to amend the motion to specify “Daffodil” yellow in Directive 4(a). The motion to amend died for lack of second. The original motion passed by majority vote (5-1), with Commissioner Johnson dissenting.

PUBLIC MEETING – FINAL SITE PLAN – DON YOUNGBLOOD – 650 NORTH 1250 WEST

Ms. Younger explained the request for Final Site Plan approval for an office/warehouse at 650 North 1250 West. At 9:35 p.m., Chair Hirschi opened a public hearing, and closed the public hearing seeing that no one wished to comment.

Ms. Romney suggested adding a condition that if the property does not already have public utility easements that the applicant shall submit public utility easements prior to the building permit. Commissioner Hayman made a motion to approve Final Site Plan for the Youngblood Office/Warehouse, to be located at 650 North 1250 West,
with Directives 1-6 and staff’s in staff’s updated recommendation and staff’s recommendation for directive #7 as of August 23, 2017 and Reason for the Action. Commissioner Johnson seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

Directives:
1. The final site plan approval shall comply with the submitted site plan to the Planning Commission, dated August 22, 2017, and as amended with this approval.
2. As required in CZC 12.52.100, the drive aisles and parking stall areas shall be paved with an all-weather surface as approved by the City Engineer.
3. A Cross access easement shall be reviewed and deemed acceptable by the City Attorney and recorded with the County, prior to issuance of a building permit.
4. Fire protection for the site shall be adequately reviewed by the South Davis Metro Fire Marshal, as part of the issuance of a Building Permit.
5. Office space shall be limited 2,600 square feet unless additional parking is provided, calculated as stated in CZC 12.52.
6. Final construction plans for improvements shall be deemed acceptable by the City Engineer prior to the issuance of Building Permit.
7. If property does not already have public utility easements as required by City Code, the applicant shall submit legal descriptions for the public utility easements to be accepted by the City Council and recorded with the Davis County Recorder’s Office prior to building permit.

Reasons for the Action:
1. The final site plan submittal does not adequately show the planned development of the entire site and how it will be used and built according to our standards. [Section 12.21.110(f)(3)].

PUBLIC MEETING – DISCUSSION – GENERAL PLAN LANGUAGE FOR PAGES LANE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT

Mr. Snyder presented three scenarios for possible amendment to the General Plan regarding the Pages Lane Commercial District area.

Scenario 1: Commercial (1.5 acres), Residential (3.5 acres), and City Park or private/public open space (3 acres)
Scenario 2: Residential (5 acres) with City Park (3 acres)
Scenario 3: Residential (7 acres) with private/public open space (1 acre)

Chair Hirschi suggested minor technical corrections to the scenarios. Commissioner Hirst commented that a three acre park at this location would increase City park space by only about 2%, at a cost of $1.7 million for the land. She referred to City-owned property on the hillside and available space on the west side of the City, and suggested there are other places the City could use $1.7 million. Commissioner Hirst suggested the Commission consider another scenario without a park element. Chair Hirschi responded that public/private participation for a park or public space makes a lot of sense. Commissioner Wright stated that, although she likes the idea of a park, she
does not want to continue discussing a park at that location if the City cannot afford it, if it would devalue the land, or if residents are not willing to support a park financially.

Commissioner Daly suggested the possibility of a park was a popular response from the public because a park would be the opposite of density, and the community definitely does not want density. He commented that, in his opinion, allowing a development of single-family detached homes at around six units per acre would not feel like density. Commissioner Hayman responded that his suggestion would be difficult with existing ordinances. Mr. Snyder reported that the City Council has directed staff to draft and present granulated R-M Zone provisions. Commissioner Hayman stated Centerville is running out of space in the built-out areas, and she believes this is one of the last opportunities the City will have to look at a large continuous piece of property and consider the possibility of a park. She said she would like to see the maximum amount of park space, and repeated her desire to know if the public would be willing to financially support a park at that location. Commissioner Hirst commented that purchasing property on Pages Lane for a park would financially postpone other options. Commissioner Daly said he believes part of the appeal of the hillside property is that it is undeveloped. Any improvement on the hillside would cost more.

Chair Hirschi commented Scenario 3 contemplates that over time there may be changes in the commercial aspect of the area. Scenario 3 would allow commercial to remain, with the idea that residential will eventually grow into the space. With a buffer park on the west side, Chair Hirschi said he believes Scenario 3 would offer the most opportunity for landowners, the City, and the developer. Commissioner Daly expressed a preference for Scenario 2 because of the larger park space, but stated he would want to specify six units per acre and single-family detached under Objective #2. Commissioner Wright stated Scenario 2 is the most palatable to her because it assumes residential redevelopment for a majority of the eastern area, and provides enough park space to be usable. Commissioner Hayman said she likes Scenario 2, and likes the suggested six-units-per-acre limit, although she is not opposed to twin homes or town homes. Responding to a question from Commissioner Wright, Mr. Snyder explained that green space in single-family development is not regulated. Commissioner Wright asked if the City could require the green space in a multi-family development to be placed on the west side as a buffer, reducing the amount of the desired green space the City would need to purchase on the west side of the property.

Chair Hirschi said he would be comfortable sending Scenarios 2 and 3 for consideration of the public. He said he believes the City would need to allow the developer more flexibility for the residential component if park space of any size is required. Chair Hirschi said he believes there is a possibility of other parties participating financially in the buffer space, but he does not feel the full three acres would be possible unless the City comes up with a lot of money.

At 10:19 p.m., Chair Hirschi opened the meeting for public comment.

Loren Pankratz – Mr. Pankratz stated he knows the Parks and Recreation Committee has a prioritized list of park projects, including a major redevelopment of Island View Park. He asked if the Parks and Recreation Committee has been involved in this discussion, and where the proposed park space would fall on their prioritized list.
Mr. Pankratz said he believes a significant portion of RAP Tax revenues are allocated for the Community Park Expansion Project for the next several years.

Rock Winegar – As the property owner, Mr. Winegar said he would love to see the City purchase three acres for a park. In the mean time, however, he said he has had a purchase offer for the old Zions Bank property. Mr. Winegar said he believes Scenario 3 would be possible, but he does not feel either of the other two scenarios could happen unless the City is ready to write a check now. Brighton Homes is ready to write a check now and meet existing green space requirements.

Taylor Spendlove – Mr. Spendlove with Brighton Homes said the public/private partnership works for Brighton Homes. He emphasized that density has to be a factor in the redevelopment of existing commercial buildings. He agreed with Commissioner Hirst’s comments, and said the only way four or six units per acre would work is if the property is devalued.

Chair Hirschi closed the public comment period at 10:31 p.m. Chair Hirschi said Scenario 3 appears most feasible, but he is not opposed to presenting more than one scenario for the public hearing. Commissioner Hirst made a motion to include the green and blue options (Scenarios 2 and 3) presented by staff for consideration at the public hearing. Commissioner Wright seconded the motion. Commissioner Johnson said he believes if the City wants a park, the City should purchase the full six or seven acres for a park. Alternatively, if the City were to allow residential development, he said it makes most sense to give a developer tools to develop the whole site. Commissioner Wright agreed that a one-acre park does not make sense. Mr. Snyder reminded the Commission the advantage of the one-acre buffer space is the partnership situation. The motion passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR’S REPORT

The next Planning Commission meeting is scheduled for September 13, 2017.

ADJOURNMENT

At 10:41 p.m., Commissioner Hayman made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Hirst seconded the motion, which passed by unanimous vote (6-0).

______________________________  09-13-2017
David Hirschi, Chair        Date Approved

______________________________
Katie Rust, Recording Secretary